

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Universal shape ratios for open and closed random walks: exact results for all d

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 L87 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/22/3/005)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 13:50

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Universal shape ratios for open and closed random walks: exact results for all d

H W Diehl[†] and E Eisenriegler[‡]

† Fachbereich Physik, Universität Gesamthochschule Essen, Postfach 103764, D-4300
Essen 1, West Germany
‡ Institut für Festkörperforschung, Kernforschungsanlage Jülich, Postfach 1913, D-5170
Jülich, West Germany

Received 2 September 1988

Abstract. The mean asphericities $\langle A_d \rangle$ of open and closed Gaussian polymer chains are computed exactly and analytically (up to simple quadratures) for arbitrary space dimensions *d*. Excellent agreement is found with existing simulation data for d = 2, 3, and 4. Our technique can also be used to compute other averages of ratios of fluctuating variables as well as extended to include the effects of self-avoiding walk interactions.

As has been known for several decades, the gross shapes of polymers are not spherical (Kuhn 1934, Solc 1971). This anisotropy plays an important role in the interpretation of viscous flow and other hydrodynamic phenomena of dilute polymeric solutions. (Kramers 1946, Abernathy *et al* 1980). Recently several groups of authors have undertaken efforts to define and compute universal numbers by which the shapes of polymers can be characterised (Bishop and Michels 1985, Theodorou and Suter 1985, Aronovitz and Nelson 1986, Rudnick and Gaspari 1986, Bishop and Saltiel 1987, Gaspari *et al* 1987). Consider a linear polymer consisting of N repeat units ('monomers') in d dimensions. We assume that on semi-microscopic scales this polymer chain can be modelled as an (N-1)-step walk in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\mathbf{R}_j = (X_{j,\alpha}; \alpha = 1, ..., d)$ be the position vector of the *j*th monomer. The shape of a specified conformation $\{\mathbf{R}_j\}$ of the chain can be conveniently characterised by the invariants of the radius of gyration tensor Q with elements

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = N^{-1} \sum_{i>j} (X_{i,\alpha} - X_{j,\alpha}) (X_{i,\beta} - X_{j,\beta})$$
(1)

(Solc 1971, Rudnick and Gaspari 1986). One familiar such invariant is the so-called *asphericity*

$$A_d = \frac{d}{d-1} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\hat{Q}^2)}{(\operatorname{tr} Q)^2}$$
(2)

where \hat{Q} means the traceless tensor

$$\hat{Q} = Q - \frac{1}{d} \mathbf{1} \operatorname{tr} Q. \tag{3}$$

The quantity A_d ranges from 0 for a spherically symmetric object to 1 for a completely elongated chain. Hence the mean asphericity $\langle A_d \rangle$ one obtains by averaging

0305-4470/89/030087+05\$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd

L88 Letter to the Editor

 $A_d = A_d \{R_j\}$ over all conformations $\{R_j\}$ is an adequate measure of how much its shape deviates on average from a spherical one. However, the computation of $\langle A_d \rangle$ requires the averaging of a ratio of fluctuating variables. This is a non-trivial task even for Gaussian (or random walk, RW) chains. In fact, except in the limit $d \rightarrow \infty$ (Gaspari et al 1987), no exact analytical results for $\langle A_d \rangle_{RW}$ have been obtained so far. In the existing analytical approaches (Aronovitz and Nelson 1986, Rudnick and Gaspari 1986, Gaspari et al 1987) the above difficulty is usually bypassed by considering simpler—albeit less natural—measures of the mean asphericity, such as the ratio of averages

$$a_d = \frac{d}{d-1} \langle \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2) \rangle / \langle (\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{Q})^2 \rangle.$$
(4)

The only exception is the work of Gaspari *et al* (1987) who worked out the first two terms of the expansion of $\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}$ in powers of d^{-1} , claiming that an exact analytical evaluation of $\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}$ for general *d* was impossible.

In this letter we will present exact analytical results for $\langle A_d \rangle_{RW}$. Both open and closed chains will be considered. Although detailed results will only be worked out for the RW case, our technique can also be utilised in conjunction with perturbation theory to compute the ε expansion of $\langle A_d \rangle$ for self-avoiding walks in $4 - \varepsilon$ dimensions (Diehl et al 1989). Furthermore, there exist other interesting universal averages of ratios that can be evaluated in the same manner (Diehl et al 1989).

Let us write the reduced Hamiltonian of the chain as

$$\mathcal{H}\{\boldsymbol{R}_{j}\} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{RW}}\{\boldsymbol{R}_{j}\} + \mathcal{V}\{\boldsymbol{R}_{j}\}$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d} [h_{\mathrm{RW}}\{\boldsymbol{X}_{j,\alpha}\}] + \mathcal{V}\{\boldsymbol{R}_{j}\}.$$
(5)

Here \mathcal{H}_{RW} is the Gaussian part with

$$h_{\rm RW}\{X_j\} = (2l)^{-2} \sum_{j=2(1)}^{N} (X_j - X_{j-1})^2$$
(6)

where the summation over j starts at j = 2, if the chain is open, and at j = 1, if it is closed. \mathcal{V} stands for two-body and higher interaction terms which need not be specified here. The crucial trick of our approach is to use the identity

$$x^{-2} = \int_0^\infty y \, \mathrm{e}^{-xy} \, \mathrm{d}y \tag{7}$$

with x = tr Q. This enables us to cast $\langle A_d \rangle$ in the form

$$\frac{d-1}{d} \langle A_d \rangle = \int_0^\infty dy \, y \langle \operatorname{tr}(\hat{Q}^2) \, \mathrm{e}^{-y \, \operatorname{tr} \, Q} \rangle$$
$$= \int_0^\infty dy \, y \langle \operatorname{tr}(\hat{Q}^2) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_y} Z[\mathscr{H}_y] / Z[\mathscr{H}]. \tag{8}$$

Here \mathcal{H}_{y} means the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}\{\boldsymbol{R}_{i}\} = \mathcal{H} + y \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{Q}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

 $Z[\mathcal{H}_y] = \operatorname{Tr} \exp(-\mathcal{H}_y\{\mathbf{R}_j\})$ the corresponding partition function, and $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_y}$ a respective average.

Writing $\mathcal{H}_{y} = \mathcal{H}_{RW,y} + \mathcal{V}$ we have from (1) and (6) $\mathcal{H}_{RW,y} \{ R_{j} \} = \Sigma_{\alpha} h_{RW,y} \{ X_{j,\alpha} \}$ with

$$h_{RW,y}\{X_j\} = (2l)^{-2} \sum_{j=2(1)}^{N} (X_j - X_{j-1})^2 + yN^{-2} \sum_{i>j} (X_i - X_j)^2.$$
(10)

Thus the RW analogue of \mathcal{H}_{y} is *Gaussian*, a fact which enables us to compute analytically the partition functions and the average required in (8) if $\mathcal{V} \equiv 0$, as well as to compute the perturbation series of these quantities by means of Wick's theorem in the general case $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$. In the following we will set $\mathcal{V} \equiv 0$.

We introduce normal coordinates Ξ_{ν} to obtain

$$h_{\mathrm{RW},y}\{X_j = \sum_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}(j)\Xi_{\nu}\} = \sum_{\nu} \varepsilon_{\nu}(y)|\Xi_{\nu}|^2$$
(11)

where the eigenfunctions $\varphi_{\nu}(j)$ and the eigenvalues $\varepsilon_{\nu}(y)$ depend on the boundary conditions. For ring polymers (RP) we have periodic boundary conditions and the eigenfunctions are $\varphi_{\nu}^{\rm RP}(j) = N^{-1/2} \exp(i2\pi\nu j/N)$ with $\nu = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm (N-1)/2$, provided N is uneven. For open chains (oc) the eigenfunctions are identical to those of a harmonic chain with free ends and given by $\varphi_{\nu=0}^{\rm OC}(j) = N^{-1/2}$ and $\varphi_{\nu}^{\rm OC}(j) = (2/N)^{1/2} \cos[\pi\nu(j-\frac{1}{2})/N]$ for $\nu = 1, \ldots, N-1$. The associated eigenvalues are

$$\varepsilon_{\nu}^{\mathrm{RP}}(y) = l^{-2} \left[\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi \nu}{N} \right) + \frac{y}{N} \right]$$

$$\varepsilon_{\nu}^{\mathrm{OC}}(y) = l^{-2} \left[\sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi \nu}{2N} \right) + \frac{y}{N} \right].$$
(12)

In terms of these $\varepsilon_{\nu}(y)$ the required ratio of partition function reads

$$\frac{Z[\mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{RW},y}]}{Z[\mathscr{H}_{\mathsf{RW}}]} \equiv \langle e^{-y \operatorname{tr} Q} \rangle_{\mathsf{RW}} = \left[\prod_{\nu \neq 0} \frac{\varepsilon_{\nu}(y)}{\varepsilon_{\nu}(0)} \right]^{-d/2}.$$
(13)

The result simplifies considerably in the continuum limit $l \to 0$, $N \to \infty$, with $Nl^2 \equiv L$ fixed, in which one finds

$$\langle e^{-y \operatorname{tr} Q} \rangle_{\mathrm{RW}}^{(\mathrm{RP})} = \left[\frac{y_L/2}{\sinh(y_L/2)} \right]^d$$
(14)

and

$$\langle e^{-y \operatorname{tr} Q} \rangle_{\mathrm{RW}}^{(\mathrm{OC})} = \left[\frac{y_L}{\sinh(y_L)} \right]^{d/2}$$
 (15)

with $y_L \equiv 2(yL)^{1/2}$.

The other average $\langle tr(\hat{Q}^2) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{RW}}$ can be expressed in terms of the propagator

$$G_{j,j'} = \langle (X_j - X_1)(X_{j'} - X_1) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{RW},y}}$$
(16)

by applying Wick's theorem. In the continuum limit one finds for $G(t, t') = \lim_{t \to 0} G_{j=t/l^2, j'=t'/l^2}$ the results

$$G^{(\text{RP})}(t, t') = \frac{L}{y_L \sinh(y_L/2)} \left\{ \cosh[\frac{1}{2}y_L - |\tau - \tau'|] - \cosh[\frac{1}{2}y_L - \tau] - \cosh[\frac{1}{2}y_L - \tau'] + \cosh[\frac{1}{2}y_L] \right\}$$
(17)

and

$$G^{(\text{OC})}(t, t') = \frac{2L}{y_L \sinh(y_L)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[\cosh(y_L - \tau - \tau') + \cosh(y_L - |\tau - \tau'|) \right] - \cosh(y_L - \tau) - \cosh(y_L - \tau') + \cosh(y_L) \right\}$$
(18)

where $\tau = y_L t/L$ and $\tau' = y_L t'/L$. The remaining calculation is straightforward, though lengthy and tedious. The final result can be written in the remarkably simple form

$$\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}^{\rm (RP)} = \frac{d+2}{2d+2} \langle A_{2d} \rangle_{\rm RW}^{\rm (OC)}$$
$$= \frac{d(d+2)}{4(d+1)} \left[3 + \frac{2}{d} - dM_d \right]$$
(19)

with

$$M_d = \int_0^\infty x^{d+1} \sinh^{-d} x \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (20)

When these results are expanded in powers of d^{-1} , one obtains

$$\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}^{(\rm RP)} = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{32}{175} d^{-1} + O(d^{-2})$$
 (21)

$$\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}^{\rm (OC)} = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{12}{175} d^{-1} + O(d^{-2})$$
 (22)

which agrees with the results of Gaspari *et al* (1987) up to a sign error in their equation (3.44). In table 1 we list the explicit results for $\langle A_d \rangle_{RW}$ with d = 2, 3, and 4 and compare them with those obtained by Bishop and Saltiel (1988) through numerical simulations. The agreement is very good.

Table 1. Comparison between our exact results and the simulat	on results by Bishop and
Saltiel (1988). Here $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann zeta function.	

d	$\langle A_d angle_{\sf RW}^{(\sf RP)}$		$\langle A_d \rangle_{\rm RW}^{\rm (OC)}$	
	Exact	Simulations	Exact	Simulations
2	$(8/3) - 2\zeta(3) = 0.2625 \dots$	0.279 ± 0.014	$[10-7\zeta(3)]/4 = 0.3964$	0.411 ± 0.031
3	$\frac{5}{16}\{11-27[7\zeta(3)-\frac{31}{4}\zeta(5)]\}$ = 0.2464	0.252 ± 0.020	0.394 27	0.390 ± 0.004
4	$\frac{6}{5}\left\{\frac{7}{2}-20[\zeta(3)-\zeta(5)]\right\}=0.2369\ldots$	0.234 ± 0.009	$4-3\zeta(3)=0.3937\ldots$	0.388 ± 0.014

We are indebted to O Jagodzinski for checking parts of the arithmetic. This work was supported by Sonderforschungsbereich 237 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

References

Abernathy F H, Bertschy J R, Chin R W and Keyes D E 1980 J. Rheology 24 647 Aronovitz J A and Nelson D R 1986 J. Physique 47 1445 Bishop M and Michels J P J 1985 J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 82 1059 Bishop M and Saltiel C J 1988 J. Chem. Phys. 88 3976 Diehl H W, Eisenriegler E and Jagodzinski O 1989 to be published Gaspari G, Rudnick J and Beldjenna A 1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 3393 Kramers H A 1946 J. Chem. Phys. 14 415 Kuhn W 1934 Kolloid Z. 68 2 Rudnick J and Gaspari G 1986 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 L191 Solc K 1971 J. Chem. Phys. 55 335 Theodorou D N and Suter U W 1985 Macromolecules 18 1206